

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN DUGAN, et al.,

No. C 12-02549 WHA

Plaintiffs,

v.

LLOYDS TSB BANK, PLC.,

Defendant.

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART
AND DENYING IN PART
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS
TO SEAL (DKT. NOS. 365, 375)**

On December 26, plaintiffs filed an administrative motion to seal (Dkt. No. 365). On December 30, defendant filed a supporting declaration waiving the sealing of plaintiffs' opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment, Exhibit A to the Petley declaration, and Exhibit 2 to the Stennes declaration. Accordingly, the request to seal the proposed redactions in plaintiffs' opposition, Exhibit A to the Petley declaration, and Exhibit 2 to the Stennes declaration is **DENIED**. The request to seal Exhibit 4 to the Stennes declaration is **GRANTED**. The request to seal portions of Exhibit A to the Walford declaration is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART**. The request to seal the proposed redactions on pages 64–70 is **GRANTED**. The request to seal the proposed redactions in paragraphs 4.23, 4.24, 4.27, 4.35, 4.38, 4.40, 4.42, 4.54, 7.25 is **GRANTED**. The request to seal the remainder of the proposed redactions is **DENIED**. Within **FIVE DAYS** of this order, plaintiffs shall re-file Exhibit A to the Walford declaration in accordance with this order.

1 Also on December 26, defendant filed an administrative motion to seal (Dkt. No. 375).
2 The request to seal the proposed redactions in defendant's opposition to plaintiffs' motion for
3 partial summary judgment is **DENIED**. The request to seal the proposed redactions in Exhibit A
4 to the Hocevar declaration is **GRANTED**.

5

6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7

8 Dated: January 3, 2014.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28